Tysoe.org.uk
Minutes of the Tysoe Parish Council Meeting
Held on Tuesday 19th July 2016 at 7.15pm

Present: Cllrs Sinclair, Locke, Allen, Collier, Cressman & Haines
In attendance: None
Public: 12

1. Welcome to the meeting given by the Chair, Cllr Sinclair. Cllr Sinclair welcomed all members of the public.	**Action/Date**
2. Apologies – Cllrs Littlewood & Risk	
3. Declaration of interest The Chair reminded councillors of the need to declare any interests in any of the agenda items. None declared.	
4. Neighbourhood Plan Cllr Sinclair said that the NP Voluntary Group (VG) presentation and report had been circulated to all councillors. Cllr Sinclair asked the councillors if they had all read this and put forward any questions they had to the VG. Councillors confirmed that they had. Cllr Sinclair then invited the VG to do their presentation. Shirley Cherry (SC) from the VG ran through a PowerPoint Presentation and the various recommendations of the Group. The full presentation and NP Tysoe Neighbourhood Plan Report are available on the Parish Council website. Questions were asked by the Councillors throughout the presentation including: How much of any funds raised by a Section 106 agreement comes to the Parish? The response was that with a plan 25% of the Section 106 comes to the village without a plan it is 15%. What is the situation presently regarding whether Lower Tysoe is in or out of the Local Service Village LSV)? Stated that at present SDC believe that Lower Tysoe is out of the LSV and the question of whether Lower Tysoe is in or out does need to be resolved. The VG could find no good reason that Lower Tysoe should be outside of the LSV but that the VG believed that the residents of Lower Tysoe feel themselves to be part of the wider village. The VG also believe that if the pros and cons were put to the residents of Lower Tysoe there would be no good reason for them to say no. The VG stated that their clear and strong recommendation is that Lower Tysoe should be part of the LSV. Cllr Sinclair stated that when the original survey was done the vast majority of people thought of Tysoe as one village. The VG stated that if Lower Tysoe is included there should be some safe guards to protect it as a separate small hamlet. The maintenance of the buffer between middle and Lower Tysoe and the numbers of any additional houses should only be small numbers on any individual plot and any dwellings should fit within the local vernacular.	

Cllr Cressman said that Matthew Neale from SDC had said that whether it is in or out is up to Tysoe. Is this correct? Cllr Cressman said that if you think of it from a sustainable perspective it does not meet the criteria. David Roache (DR) said that when measured by the public footpath it did just meet the criteria and that there is a good pavement between Lower and Middle Tysoe.

The second point raised by Cllr Cressman was that she was very wary regarding the information gathered by the original NP questionnaire because it was done on the back of the Gladman development. VG said the argument to include Lower Tysoe is not to have a larger geographical area but spread the houses. The VG believe culturally and economically it is part of the wider village. Also the NP should be used as a document that defines no go areas and other aesthetics.

Cllr Locke said it is good that we seem to be all agreed that Lower Tysoe should be included. Cllr Cressman asked if Lower Tysoe were included then would the developments that have already been given permission and/or built count against the target? It was confirmed that they would.

Cllr Collier asked which came first establishing the safeguards or asking the residents whether Lower Tysoe should be included? The VG would like to see that Lower Tysoe is included but we also recommend that those safeguards are in place. Kineton has already done this, and Long Compton had put what they referred to as "green fingers" in place where development should not take place; so doing this for Lower Tysoe should not be a problem. There are good planning reasons why it would be acceptable.

Cllr Sinclair said that this is quite a big decision that needs to be resolved. Any Steering Committee should carry this forward and consult the residents of Lower Tysoe on this particular issue

A housing needs survey had been undertaken but the previous one was carried out some time ago. The thoughts of the VG were to see if they could investigate and find a quick, easy and efficient way of updating it to avoid the necessity of providing a completely new survey.

The VG then talked about site identification within the NP.

Suggested that Neil Pearce could possibly be involved in the call for sites exercise as remoteness and objectivity can only bode well from efficiency and standard required.

Cllr Locke said that when we talk about speaking to local land owners it will be important to advertise it very widely so it is not seen as favouring any particular individuals. VG responded saying that they had discussed that this process needs to be transparent and inclusive.

Cllr Locke queried what happened if you had too many sites. VG said that Long Compton had experienced this. When they get planning applications out of their designated sites they get to influence what happens.

Cllr Locke said that the greater concern was that too many sites could come forward. VG said that a priority list could define which sites are more suitable.

Cllr Allen said that in terms of site selection how do we decide what is more important and how do we prioritise, is it historical sites, flooding issues, AONB etc. and how will these be weighted?

VG stated that regardless of scale sites should be considered on their merit. Invite local residents to suggest smaller sites, larger scale developments picking up the

SHLAA sites.

Don't want the residents of Tysoe to think it is all about large sites we are looking at sites for one house, two houses and up to 20. This will enable people to have their say and not feel that things are being forced upon them. In reality the VG said that when it came to the final plan small sites would not be set but this site allocation process would the villagers. This is a process but does not mean that all the sites will be included but it is a way of encouraging engagement.

Alison Mallalieu (AM) talked about the consultation and the degree to which this had been undertaken. Long Compton looking at what Tysoe had done previously felt that although it had been done to the village and that we need to better engage the village. AM said that this is something that we have to take on board. AM made the following points:

- Need to harness people this is not just about the planning but about what kind of village we want Tysoe to be for the next 50 years. Get people together in the village hall and encourage their thoughts and then go back to them to check that we have got this right. It is an inactive and also an iterative process. Both Kineton and Long Compton had their own call for sites.

- Long Compton had a vision that they did not want older people to have to leave the village and thus having the ability to allow them to remain in the village was built into their Neighbourhood plan.

- Talk to the school and see what the children think, this would strengthen the consultation process.

Cllr Collier asked how the VG intended to engage the village? The response when Kineton did their consultation on site allocation very many from the parish attended. Cllr Allen said that you need to ensure that you offer alternative the times and different days to allow as many people as possible the opportunity to participate.

Cllr Cressman said that the village hall type format can be quite an intimidating approach for some people. VG said that we will need to let this process evolve and use focus groups to get views and make it much more a community building exercise.

The VG said that the Tysoe Flower Show would be a good venue for the NP to be present. They said that they could speak to people about the current state of the NP and what we are trying to achieve and thus relaunch the NP process. Also use this to get emails and contact details. Come along have a chat and see what is happening.

The VG said that Statutory consultation also needs to be done and whilst many organisations may not respond this is still a process that needs to be gone through.

Last plan mixed up the specified deliverables with the aspirational things and think these need to be kept separate.

Member of the public asked some questions regarding the numbers. It was confirmed that SDC is about to publish the numbers of houses each area is required to build.

Member of the public said that if the Shenington Road and or Oxhill Road went ahead would that satisfy the numbers? VG responded that even if they do succeed there would still be a need for a NP. Furthermore, these developments could identify Tysoe as an easy target to other developers.

Member of the public said that their overall comment would be that this is an excellent piece of work and what we should have been done two years ago The

principle difference is that it is an asking and not a telling process.

Parish Council agreed that a Steering Committee needed to be formed and at the August meeting and liaise as to how we carry this forward.

VG asked where the PC stood on their involvement. The PC has to be involved and have ownership of this. The PC needed to be an integral part of is.

Cllr Collier has said that all the PC will be involved which is excellent.

Member of the public asked what is the realistic timescale to completion? It was felt that no timescales could be put in place but what is important is that it is done correctly rather than rush the NP through only to have it rejected.

A member of the VG asked about the logistics (time input etc) of the whole PC participating in this; coming to the meetings and giving support and undertaking actions where and when necessary. It was confirmed that the whole PC would be involved and the exact details of this would be agreed at the August meeting.

Cllr Sinclair asked who from the VG would like to stand on the Steering Committee: Shirley Cherry, David Roache, Isobel Watston, Jeremey Rivers-Fletcher, Wayne Cressman confirmed that they were willing. Steve Millward said that he would be able to confirm by the end of the month whether he would have the time to be involved. Alison Mallalieu stated that at the moment she had some reservations.

It was agreed that a notice should be displayed on the notice board and on the website asking for further volunteers. Cllr Haines agreed to undertake this.

Cllr Haines

Cllr Locke said that new terms of reference need to be agreed. The Clerk was asked to investigate what Long Compton and Kineton had done and look at the old terms of reference.

The Clerk

Cllr Cressman said that she just wanted to thank the group for all the work that they had done.

Cllr Sinclair said that she also wanted to thank the group on behalf of the whole Council for all the excellent hard work that had been undertaken and for producing such an excellent report. All the Parish Councillors agreed.

Cllr Sinclair closed this section of the meeting by saying - I am so pleased with what you have done and words cannot say how I feel about everything that has been achieved.

5. Financial Administration

The following payment was advised:

Npower	Electricity 01/04/16 – 30/06/16	536.95

The payments were proposed by Cllr Collier and seconded by Cllr Locke

6. Closure of the meeting – 20.40pm

The next meeting will be held on Tuesday 2nd August 2016 agenda items to the Clerk by Friday 22nd July 2016