

**TYSOE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
SURVEY RESULTS
SEPTEMBER 2014**

ABOUT YOU

1 I am answering as...

	Count	%
an Individual Resident	311	77.8%
a Household	85	21.3%
No response given	4	1.0%
Completed Surveys	400	

Commentary

The majority (77.8%) of respondents completed survey as an individual.

3 Age profile of yourself/household (please indicate the number of people in each group)

	Count	%
Age 0-16	34	6.8%
Age 17-24	45	9.0%
Age 25-44	43	8.6%
Age 45-64	163	32.7%
Age 65+	141	28.3%

Contrast with 2011 Census

Population	%	Response Rate
180	15.7%	18.9%
116	10.1%	38.8%
226	19.8%	19.0%
373	32.6%	43.7%
248	21.7%	56.9%

4a How long have you lived in Tysoe?

	Count	%
Less than 1 year	22	5.5%
1-5 years	66	16.5%
6-10 years	57	14.3%
11-20 years	124	31.0%
21-40 years	68	17.0%
41+ years	59	14.8%
No response given	4	1.0%

4b If you have lived in Tysoe less than 5 years, where did you live previously?

5 What brought you to the Parish (eg. Job, to be near family, retirement)?

	Count	%	Commentary
To be near family	96	24.0%	
Employment in the area	94	23.5%	
Retirement	46	11.5%	
Attractiveness of the village/area	36	9.0%	
Wanting to live in the countryside	36	9.0%	
Born and remained here	30	7.5%	
Attractiveness of the house	21	5.3%	
Amenities within the village	8	2.0%	
No response given	33	8.3%	

6 Are you... (please tick those that apply)

	Count	%	Commentary
Employed full-time	113	28.3%	This low response rate is consistent with the low figures shown in the 2011 Census
Employed part-time	60	15.0%	
Self employed	74	18.5%	
Looking after home/family	22	5.5%	
Unemployed	3	0.8%	
Full-time student	17	4.3%	
Retired	154	38.5%	
Long term sick or disabled	8	2.0%	
No response given	1	0.3%	

7 Do you have any **mobility** issues?

	Count	%
Yes	34	8.5%
No	364	91.0%
No response given	2	0.5%

Comments relating to mobility issues	Count	% of comments received
Respondents have difficulty walking more than short distances	15	57.7%
Bus service improvements would be helpful	2	7.7%
Wheelchair access improvements required	1	3.8%
Vehicles obstructing footpaths	1	3.8%
Uncategorised	7	26.9%

8 Do you feel in any way **isolated**?

	Count	%
Yes	32	8.0%
No	363	90.8%
No response	5	1.3%

Comments relating to feelings of isolation	Count	% of comments received
Inadequate Public Transport leads to feelings of isolation	18	34.0%
Ability to drive cited as reason for not feeling isolated	10	18.9%
Calling for more and better communicated community activities	5	9.4%
Isolation is a quality/feature of Tysoe that respondents enjoy	4	7.5%
Poor Mobile Phone reception results in people feeling vulnerable outside the home (walks etc.)	2	3.8%
Uncategorised	14	26.4%

Commentary

This is supported by 2011 Census data that shows Tysoe has above average car/van ownership in the Stratford District with only 8% of population without car/van contrasted with district average of 12.8%

BUSINESS AND ECONOMY

9 Please let us know **your opinion** in the following statements...

Please let us know your opinion on the following statements... (please tick one box per row)	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No opinion		No response		Response Rate		Commentary
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
	There could be developments of small business units to provide jobs and facilities in the Parish.	62	15.9%	207	53.1%	67	17.2%	34	8.7%	20	5.1%	10	2.6%	390	
Allow small developments (up to 4 business units) accommodated on existing built upon sites.	64	16.5%	225	57.8%	46	11.8%	32	8.2%	22	5.7%	11	2.8%	389	97.3%	A significant majority (74.3%) of respondents feel that small business developments (up to 4 business units) should be accommodated on existing built upon sites.

Cont'd/...

9 cont'd/...

There could be developments of small business units outside the current built limit of the settlements.	21	5.4%	130	33.2%	126	32.2%	87	22.3%	27	6.9%	9	2.3%	391	97.8%	54.5% of respondents believe that business units should not be developed outside of the current built limit of the settlements. In contrast, 38.6% feel that such development could occur.
Change of use of sites from business to residential (with possible loss of associated facilities) should be allowed.	19	4.9%	152	39.1%	133	34.2%	43	11.1%	42	10.8%	11	2.8%	389	97.3%	There is no clear preference amongst respondents on change of use from business to residential developments. 44.0% believe such development should be allowed, whereas 45.2% disagree.
Change of use of sites from residential to business site use should be allowed.	14	3.6%	150	38.6%	128	32.9%	61	15.7%	36	9.3%	11	2.8%	389	97.3%	There is a small preference amongst respondents on change of use from residential to business developments. 42.2% believe such development should be allowed, whereas 48.6% disagree.
Tysoe is often described as a "gateway to the Cotswolds". Our Plan should include policies to encourage more tourism and visitors such as walker and cyclists.	120	30.5%	185	47.1%	37	9.4%	14	3.6%	37	9.4%	7	1.8%	393	98.3%	Policies that encouraged more tourism and visitors would be popular with the majority (77.6%) of respondents. Significantly, 11.2% of respondents expressed no opinion or response to the

11 How do you rate **Internet connectivity** in the Parish?
(please tick one)

	Count	%
Not fast enough	186	46.5%
OK, but it could be faster	118	29.5%
Acceptable	50	12.5%
I do not use the Internet	42	10.5%
No response given	4	1.0%

Of the 354 respondents that use the Internet, 85.8% believe connections could be faster.

TRAVEL AND TRANSPORT

12 Do you **work** outside the Parish?

	Count	% (note 1)
Yes	117	47.4%
Yes, but not all the time *	66	26.7%
No	43	17.4%
No response given	4	1.6%
Note 1. Percentage of those responding to Q6. indicating that they are in either Full-time, Part-time or Self-employed		
* Please state average number of days per week away from the Parish:	Count	% (note 1)
5-6 days per week	2	0.8%
4-5 days per week	10	4.0%
3-4 days per week	16	6.5%
2-3 days per week	19	7.7%
1-2 days per week	12	4.9%
Not specified	7	2.8%
Commentary		
The survey suggests that almost half (48.2%) of respondents that stated they are in either Full-time or Part-time employment or Self Employed work for more than 5 days a week outside of the Parish.		
This figure increases to 58.7% of the same group who work an average of 3 or more days a week outside of the Parish.		
17.4% of employed residents are employed either by organisations within the Parish or are working from home.		

13 Do you **study** outside the Parish?

	Count	% (note 2)
Yes	12	70.6%
Yes, but not all the time *	2	11.8%
No	2	11.8%
No response given	1	5.9%
Note 2. Percentage of those responding to Q6. indicating that they are a full-time student		
* Please state average number of days per week away from the Parish:	Count	% (note 2)
5-6 days per week	0	0.0%
4-5 days per week	0	0.0%
3-4 days per week	2	0.8%
2-3 days per week	0	0.0%
1-2 days per week	0	0.0%
Not specified	0	0.0%
Commentary		
The majority (70.6%) of respondents that stated they were Full-time Students, study outside the parish. A further 11.8% spend on average 3-4 per week travelling outside the village to study.		

14

Where do you work or study?		
(Please see Note 3 below)	Count	%
Banbury	17	11.6%
Stratford Upon Avon	15	10.3%
London	12	8.2%
Warwick	9	6.2%
Kineton	9	6.2%
Oxford	10	6.8%
Country-wide (UK)	8	5.5%
Shipston on Stour	7	4.8%
Wellesbourne	7	4.8%
Birmingham	6	4.1%
Gaydon	5	3.4%
Coventry	4	2.7%
Overseas (Europe, Middle East, Africa)	4	2.7%
Bloxham	3	2.1%
Leamington Spa	3	2.1%
Brailles	2	1.4%
Other (within Stratford District)	13	8.9%
Other (outside District)	11	7.5%
Not specified	1	0.7%

Note 3.

This table captures the places residents work full-time outside of the Parish.

The count and % is based on answers given by respondents who answered "Yes" to Q12 and/or Q13.

Commentary

15

How do you get there?		
(Please see Note 4 below)	Count	%
Bus	5	3.8%
Car	93	71.5%
Bus/Train	1	0.8%
Car/Train	14	10.8%
Car/Bus	3	2.3%
Walk	0	0.0%
Cycle	1	0.8%
Other	3	2.3%
No response given	10	7.7%

Note 4.
This table captures the methods used to travel to work by those who stated they worked full time outside of the Parish by answering "Yes" to Q12 and/or Q13.

Commentary
The majority (71.5%) of residents use a car as their sole method of transport to work. A further 10.8% use a combination of car/train. Only one respondent uses a cycle to travel to work outside of the Parish.
The survey suggests no one walks to work outside of the Parish.

16

If you use a car, would you consider using a bus to your destination?

	Count	%
Yes, with a more frequent service*	28	25.7%
No	80	73.4%
No response given	1	0.9%

* If 'Yes', how many services would you like to see?

Number of morning services	Count	%
More than 10	3	10.7%
Between 8 and 9	0	0.0%
Between 6 and 7	0	0.0%
Between 4 and 5	4	14.3%
Between 2 and 3	10	35.7%
1 Service	2	7.1%
No response given	9	32.1%

* If 'Yes', how many services would you like to see?

Number of evening services	Count	%
More than 10	2	7.1%
Between 8 and 9	1	3.6%
Between 6 and 7	0	0.0%
Between 4 and 5	5	17.9%
Between 2 and 3	9	32.1%
1 Service	1	3.6%
No response given	10	35.7%

Commentary

The significant majority of respondents (73.4%) who currently use a car to travel to work would not consider using the bus to travel to work, even if services were more frequent.

Of the 25.7% that would consider using the bus to travel to work if bus services were more frequent, services of between 2 and 5 both mornings and evenings are desired by the majority.

In relation to future development of the parish, please provide any **additional comments** you wish to make on Travel and Transport.

In total 31.3% of respondents provided additional comments on Travel and Transport. These responses are categorised below.

	Count	%
a desire to see bus timetables reviewed and generally a call for more frequent service.	21	16.8%
general concern with travel and public transport.	20	16.0%
specific requests for direct bus services to Stratford and Banbury arriving around 8am.	19	15.2%
that existing bus routes need to be reviewed and improved.	12	9.6%
concern with general road maintenance, pot holes and gritting.	9	7.2%
concern with the speed of traffic, calling for speed limits enforced and traffic calming to be implemented.	8	6.4%
concern that further housing development will place increased pressure on road infrastructure.	7	5.6%
suggestions that smaller buses are needed to make more direct routes and more frequent services viable.	6	4.8%
concern with congestion in village centre and problems with parking at peak times.	5	4.0%
suggestion that bus shelter(s) needed in village.	5	4.0%
more direct services to towns/cities to shorten journey times.	5	4.0%
Other comments	8	6.4%

Commentary

The majority of comments relate to a desire to bus service improvements.

HOUSING DESIGN

18 What size of new homes do you think should be a priority in Tysoe?

(please tick one box only)

	Count	%
Family houses (3-5 bedrooms)	24	6.0%
Family houses (2-3 bedrooms)	76	19.0%
Bungalows (3-4 bedrooms)	7	1.8%
Bungalows (2-3 bedrooms)	39	9.8%
Flats/Apartments	9	2.3%
Single storey buildings (such as barn conversions)	15	3.8%
A mixture of the above	177	44.3%
No response given	53	13.3%

Commentary

The majority of respondents (57.5%) expressed no preference for any one size or type of house design.

Where respondents did express a preference Family houses of 2-3 bedrooms were identified as a priority for any new development.

20 Tysoe has a much lower percentage of **1 person households** than the rest of Stratford District (20.9% rather than 28.5%). Should Tysoe try to raise the proportion of 1 person households reflecting more national and Stratford-wide trends?

	Count	%
Yes	78	19.5%
No	206	51.5%
Don't know	104	26.0%
No response	12	3.0%

Commentary

The majority of respondents (51.5%) do not feel that Tysoe should try to raise the proportion of 1 person households.

However a relatively large number of respondents (29.0%) either were unable to answer the question or chose not to respond.

21 Of the following **types of housing**, please tick a style that you would be most happy to see built in Tysoe.

(please tick up to THREE ONLY)

	Count	%
Detached houses	153	38.3%
Semi-detached houses	130	32.5%
Cottage-style houses	266	66.5%
Farm building conversions	208	52.0%
Bungalows (single-storey)	194	48.5%
Terraced houses	51	12.8%
Flats	28	7.0%

Commentary

The most popular types of housing for any new development seems to reflect many of the existing properties in the parish, with Cottage-style houses receiving the support of 66.5% of respondents vote, followed by Farm building conversions at 52%. Similarly, Bungalows (single-storey) received the support of almost half (48.5%) of respondents.

If new houses are to be built in Tysoe, we must understand **your preferences for new development** within the Parish.

Please let us know your opinion on the following statements... (please tick one box per row)

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No opinion		No response		Response Rate		Commentary
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Plan should encourage a more compact village with any development focussed near to the village centre.	65	16.8%	149	38.5%	100	25.8%	46	11.9%	27	7.0%	13	3.4%	387	96.8%	55.3% of respondents agree that a more compact village should be encouraged with any development focussed near to the village centre.
Plan should encourage more spread outside the existing village boundary, providing housing with larger gardens.	18	4.7%	90	23.3%	152	39.3%	104	26.9%	23	5.9%	13	3.4%	387	96.8%	66.1% of respondents do not feel the plan should encourage spread outside the existing village boundary.
Plan should encourage the majority of new houses to be built in one large concentrated location rather than multiple smaller sites.	8	2.0%	29	7.4%	130	33.1%	210	53.4%	16	4.1%	7	1.8%	393	98.3%	86.5% of respondents disagree that the plan should encourage the majority of new houses to be built in one large concentrated site, rather than multiple smaller sites. 53.4% Strongly disagree.

Cont'd/...

19 cont'd/...

Plan should encourage a gradual pace of development, with a small number houses built each year to 2031.	150	38.1%	208	52.8%	19	4.8%	7	1.8%	10	2.5%	6	1.5%	394	98.5%	90.9% of respondents would like to see the emerging plan encourage a gradual pace of development, with a small number of houses built each year to 2031.
Plan should encourage larger housing developments early in the period, allowing any impact to be experienced and the village able to adapt and move on.	8	2.0%	15	3.8%	135	34.4%	218	55.5%	17	4.3%	7	1.8%	393	98.3%	89.8% of respondents do not want the emerging plan to encourage larger housing developments early in the period 2011-2031. 55.5% Strongly disagree.
Plan should place importance on the natural environment, such as protecting views, hedgerows, open spaces, wildflowers and wildlife.	275	69.1%	99	24.9%	12	3.0%	5	1.3%	7	1.8%	2	0.5%	398	99.5%	94.0% of respondents want the emerging plan to place importance on protecting the natural environment
Plan should place importance on the historical environment, such as protecting field systems, historical buildings/monuments and archaeological sites.	267	67.1%	103	25.9%	10	2.5%	8	2.0%	10	2.5%	2	0.5%	398	99.5%	93.0% of respondents want the emerging plan to place importance on protecting the historical environment

Tysoe has developed over many hundreds of years. Today, the character of the Parish reflects many different **buildings styles and materials.**

Please let us know your opinion on the following statements... (please tick one box per row)

	<i>Strongly Agree</i>		<i>Agree</i>		<i>Disagree</i>		<i>Strongly Disagree</i>		<i>No opinion</i>		<i>No response</i>		<i>Response Rate</i>		<i>Commentary</i>
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Plan should encourage uniform design and consistent use of materials in all future development.	36	9.3%	100	25.7%	154	39.6%	71	18.3%	28	7.2%	11	2.8%	389	97.3%	The majority of respondents (57.8%) do not believe the Plan should encourage uniform design and consistent use of materials in all future development.
Plan should encourage a continuation of the eclectic mix of existing design and materials.	69	17.6%	194	49.6%	86	22.0%	24	6.1%	18	4.6%	9	2.3%	391	97.8%	A large majority of respondents (67.3%) believe the Plan should encourage an eclectic mix of design and materials.
Plan should encourage new housing built in a traditional local style using local stone .	172	43.4%	181	45.7%	21	5.3%	2	0.5%	20	5.1%	4	1.0%	396	99.0%	A significant majority (89.1%) of respondents believe the Plan should encourage new housing to be built in a traditional local style using local stone. Only 5.6% of respondents disagree with this view.

Cont'd/....

22 cont'd/....

Plan should encourage new housing built to reflect local style, using reconstituted stone or modern brick.	11	2.8%	129	33.4%	139	36.0%	70	18.1%	37	9.6%	14	3.6%	386	96.5%	The majority of respondents (54.1%) wish to see the Plan encourage the use of reconstituted stone or modern brick.
Plan should encourage modern housing, and reflecting style of housing in other areas.	7	1.8%	44	11.3%	163	41.8%	147	37.7%	29	7.4%	10	2.6%	390	97.5%	A large majority of respondents (79.5%) do not wish to see the Plan encourage modern housing design reflecting style of housing in other areas.
It is important to get new houses built, and the exact style is of secondary importance.	3	0.8%	28	7.1%	116	29.5%	234	59.5%	12	3.1%	7	1.8%	393	98.3%	A significant majority (89.1%) of respondents disagree that the exact style of new houses is of secondary importance to getting new houses built.
The plan should place a requirement on developers to incorporate renewable heat sources (such as air source or ground source heat pumps) into new houses.	122	30.9%	163	41.3%	37	9.4%	9	2.3%	64	16.2%	5	1.3%	395	98.8%	A large majority of respondents (72.2%) believe the Plan should place a requirement on developers to incorporate renewable heat sources into new homes. Only 11.6% disagree with this view.

Please let us know **your opinion** on **Conservation Areas and Listed Buildings**
(please tick on box per row)

Please let us know your opinion on the following statements... (please tick one box per row)

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No opinion		No response		Response Rate		Commentary
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
I would like to see existing listed buildings (i.e. those which are formally designated as being historic or architectural interest) preserved in their current settings.	256	64.2%	119	29.8%	5	1.3%	3	0.8%	16	4.0%	1	0.3%	399	99.8%	A significant majority (94.0%) of respondents would wish to see the Plan preserve listed buildings in their current settings.
Both Upper and Middle Tysoe each have a defined conservation area. I would like to see these conservation areas remain free from further development and building.	203	51.3%	99	25.0%	59	14.9%	17	4.3%	18	4.5%	4	1.0%	396	99.0%	A large majority (76.3%) of respondents would wish to see the Plan encourage conservation areas to remain free from further development.

24 In column **A** below please tick the appropriate box to record the number of bedrooms in your current home. Similarly, in column **B** please indicate the number of bedrooms you would prefer to have, now or in the future, if such a home was affordable/available.

	A (today)		B (future)	
	Count	%	Count	%
1 bedroom house	2	0.5%	4	1.0%
2 bedroom house	49	12.3%	76	19.0%
3 bedroom house	146	36.5%	170	42.5%
4+ bedroom house	199	49.8%	117	29.3%
No response	4	1.0%	33	8.3%

Commentary

When residents compare their current house size with their future requirement, the results show an overall shift towards a requirement for smaller houses.

These results are consistent with responses elsewhere in the survey that show a preference for 2-3 bedroom cottages, farm buildings and bungalows.

25 Planners take decisions based on local circumstances and opportunities. Our plan needs evidence of **what you think about the locality.**

Do you think of Tysoe as... (please tick one)

	Count	%
one village	312	78.0%
two villages	61	15.3%
three villages	23	5.8%
no response	4	1.0%

Indicate which of the following villages you consider as being **part of our locality.**

	Count	%
Oxhill	272	62.7%
Ratley	24	5.5%
Radway	37	8.5%
No opinion	101	23.3%

Commentary

A significant majority (78%) of respondents consider Tysoe to be one village.

The majority of respondents (62.7%) also see the neighbouring village of Oxhill as part of Tysoe's locality.

The results suggest Ratley and Radway are seen far less as part of the locality of Tysoe.

26 Please provide any **additional comments** you wish to make on Housing Design.

In total 28.5% of respondents provided additional comments on Housing Design. These responses are catagorised below.

	Count	%
Policies should encourage a style and character of housing design that is sympathetic to existing examples using traditional materials	39	34.2%
Plan should discourage large housing estates of above 20 houses.	11	9.6%
Affordable 1-2 bedroom starter homes should be a priority.	10	8.8%
More suitably designed property for senior citizens is required, which would allow larger houses to be freed up for families.	7	6.1%
Plan should encourage housing design that achieves high energy ratings.	7	6.1%
Good quality modern/contemporary architecture is good in the right setting.	5	4.4%
Calls for development in Lower Tysoe and infill between Middle and Lower Tysoe	4	3.5%
Existing conservation areas should be reviewed/extended.	3	2.6%
Suggestions for a nursing/residential home for older members of community unable to live alone.	3	2.6%
Plan should encourage a mixture of design, rather than uniform design.	3	2.6%
Plan should include a village design statement, with specific design policies.	2	1.8%
Plan should avoid encouraging a pastiche 'Tysoe vernacular', and instead use good modern, energy efficient architecture.	2	1.8%
Utility infrastructure should be improved	2	1.8%
No brick houses to be developed.	2	1.8%
Plan should encourage self-build.	2	1.8%
Plan should allow small developments in conservation areas if limited impact.	2	1.8%
Other	10	8.8%

Commentary

A relatively low number of additional comments were provided in relation to Housing Design.

The majority (34.2%) of comments related to plan encouraging a style and character of housing design that is sympathetic to existing examples using traditional materials.

9.6% of comments capture concern that large (above 20 houses) "estates" should not be developed in the parish.

8.8% of comments suggest affordable 1-2 starter homes should be a priority.

As part of the preparation of its Core Strategy, Stratford District Council commissioned a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) in 2012. The assessment was a pragmatic attempt to consider a number of development sites within the parish, but was non-evidence based and did not take into account the aspects of historical or natural environment or amenity capacities.

The following list of sites were considered by the SHLAA as **broad locations and sites with future potential for development.**

Please indicate your views on the suitability of the sites (please tick one box per row).

	<i>I feel this site is not suitable</i>		<i>Worthy of further investigation</i>		<i>I have no strong views</i>		<i>No response</i>		<i>Response Rate</i>		<i>Commentary</i>
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
A) Land to the rear of Windmill Way (Ref. TYS102)	212	54.9%	124	32.1%	50	13.0%	14	3.6%	386	96.5%	Site A is the site where the least number of respondents felt if worthy of further investigation (32.1%), and also the least popular with 54.9% believing the site is not suitable for development.
B) Land to the east of Epwell Road (Ref. TYS104)	110	28.8%	220	57.6%	52	13.6%	18	4.7%	382	95.5%	Site B is the second most popular site, with 57.6% of respondents believing the site to be worthy of further investigation, and a relatively low number (28.8%) believing it to be not suitable for development.


Cont'd/...

27 cont'd/...


C) Farm buildings at Saddledon Street (Ref. TYS106d)	142	36.8%	205	53.1%	39	10.1%	14	3.6%	386	96.5%	
D) Land north of Oxhill Road and west of Sandpits Road	132	35.0%	185	49.1%	60	15.9%	23	6.1%	377	94.3%	
E) Land off Main Street, Foeffee Farm (Ref. MID101)	109	28.1%	228	58.8%	51	13.1%	12	3.1%	388	97.0%	Site C ^E is the most popular site, with 58.8% of respondents believing the site to be worthy of further investigation and the lowest number (28.1%) of respondents believing the site to be not suitable. This site also attracted the highest response rate.
F) The Orchard, Main Street (Ref. TYS101)	122	32.2%	188	49.6%	69	18.2%	21	5.5%	379	94.8%	
G) Land to the north of Church Farm Court (Ref. TYS103)	136	35.1%	190	49.0%	62	16.0%	12	3.1%	388	97.0%	

Cont'd/.....

27 cont'd/...



Cont'd/...



28 The Neighbourhood Plan process encourages communities to identify **community development sites** where proceeds could be beneficial to the wider community. This includes sites owned by community groups or associations together with land trusts or building preservation trusts. There are a number of such sites within the parish that could potentially be used for development in the period to 2031. If such development sites could be agreed, please indicate which of the following benefits would be most important to you:

Please let us know your opinion on the following statements... (please tick one box per row)	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		No opinion		No response		Response Rate		Commentary
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Opportunity for the community to control (through policies in the Neighbourhood Plan) the nature of development.	221	57.0%	125	32.2%	13	3.4%	2	0.5%	27	7.0%	12	3.1%	388	97.0%	89.2% of respondents place importance on community development sites that would provide an opportunity to control the nature of development.
Potential opportunity to award development contracts to local builders or encourage the creation of new local jobs or apprenticeships.	126	32.6%	197	50.9%	20	5.2%	8	2.1%	36	9.3%	13	3.4%	387	96.8%	83.5% of respondents place importance on community development sites that would potentially provide an opportunity to award development contracts to local builders or encourage the creation of new local jobs or apprenticeships.
Opportunity to support self-build collectives, where community members contribute time/skills to support development.	79	20.4%	178	46.0%	39	10.1%	14	3.6%	77	19.9%	13	3.4%	387	96.8%	66.4% of respondents place importance on community development sites that would potentially provide an opportunity to support self-build collectives, where community members contribute time/skills to support development.
Potential opportunity to release plots with outline planning permission to local builders or self-builders to support local trades and job creation.	94	24.4%	189	49.0%	28	7.3%	19	4.9%	56	14.5%	14	3.6%	386	96.5%	73.4% of respondents place importance on community development sites that would potentially provide an opportunity to release plots with outline planning permission to local builders or self-builders to support local trades and job creation.

