



Minutes

Ordinary Meeting of Tysoe Parish Council

Held on: Monday 19th August 2019 at 7.15pm

Place: Tysoe Village Hall

Present: Cllrs Jacqui Sinclair (Chair) David Roache (Vice Chair), Malcolm Littlewood, John Tongue, Jane Millward, Alison Cross and Jeremy Rivers-Fletcher

Members of the public: 7

152/19 WELCOME and APOLOGIES

Councillors **received** and **accepted** apologies from James Bardey as he was on holiday.

153/19 DECLARATION OF INTEREST

There were no interests declared in any item of business on the agenda

154/19 RESPONSE TO SDC's SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN

The Chair opened the item to the floor for comment by members of the public:

- SDC had chosen a very bad time to go to consultation with the SAP as it was summer holiday time and many residents were away thus reducing the ability to comment.
- Letters were only sent to residents close to a reserve site with no mention of additional sites.
- Residents not close to a reserve site remained unaware of any development. In such a small community all residents should be informed.
- 'Which takes precedent - SAP or NDP?'; Localism or Central planning?'
- How can we ensure that local resident views are known and that they are getting the correct information?' It was **agreed** that information would be made available via the notice board, Social Media, and the PC website.

Councillors then discussed and in Summary:

1. The SAP overrides local preferences especially in villages where a Neighbourhood Plan (NDP) has been prepared involving considerable consultation with residents.
2. The SAP makes no recognition of the fact that the Tysoe NDP has already identified two reserve sites for approximately 21 houses which would be released for development under similar conditions to those identified in the SAP.
3. If the sites identified in the SAP were to be released for development it would increase hugely the contribution made by the Local Service Villages (of which Tysoe is one) to SDC's housing numbers. This makes a nonsense of SDC's Core Strategy.
4. The development of many of the identified sites, especially those around Tysoe, breaches the requirements of Core Strategy policy CS15 and also the Strategic Requirements of the SAP itself.
5. By SDC's own admission (see briefing note to Councillors ahead of 15th July Cabinet meeting) the sites around Tysoe should have been removed from the SAP before formal submission - this has not been done.
6. The SAP represents a renegeing of localism by SDC. They have promoted the concept of Neighbourhood Plans but have then over-riden that concept by imposing large and unwarranted sites on the edge of villages who have taken years to prepare Plans.
7. There is no clarity in the SAP of how the proposed Sites would be released if the conditions for release were met. This implies a "free for all" by developers with all of the ensuing chaos that would bring. It is certain that the losers in this process would be the communities that SDC is there to serve.

Full response - **Appendix A**

Proposed Cllr Rivers-Fletcher

Seconded Cllr Littlewood

All in favour

155/19

COMMUNITY MEETING TO BE HELD IN LATE AUTUMN

Cllr Roache described his proposal to have a Community Meeting in the late autumn instead of the Annual Parish meeting in March. This was to achieve the following:

- i. To show the Parish what the Parish Council was responsible for and what the other council bodies [SDC & WCC] had responsibility for
- ii. To allow other Parish bodies, community groups and agencies such as the Police to show what they are doing within the Parish and to allow an interaction between the bodies and groups with members of the community
- iii. To form a sub-committee to work on a plan to implement the idea. Cllrs Millward and Littlewood volunteered to assist Cllr Roache.

Proposed: Cllr Roache Seconded: Cllr Rivers-Fletcher All in Favour

156/19

PLANNING

a) 1902213VARY Home Holdings

Object for the following reasons:

- i. The site is within an area where there is a presumption against residential development in the open countryside.
- ii. The proposed development forms an undesirable extension of development into open countryside, detrimental to the visual amenities of the area as a whole and inconsistent with the Core Strategy by virtue of its conflict with the spatial strategy for the district and effect on the character and appearance of the area.
- iii. The variation moves the building into the agricultural aspect of the site, is outside the proposed BUAB in the evolving Neighbourhood Plan and is contrary to the District Council's Spatial Strategy plan, CS15 and AS10.
- iv. The variation would also lend weight to further planning for an "infill" property between the two properties.

Proposed Cllr Tongue Seconded Cllr Roache All in favour

b) DISCN0018619 Greenacres Farm

No objection:

Proposed Cllr Tongue Seconded Cllr Cross All in favour

157/19

CORRESPONDENCE APPROVAL

All Councillors confirmed that they had read the correspondence which was a letter to DC Whalley-Hoggins and DC Feilding in relation to:

- 1. The lack of progress of our Neighbourhood Plan
- 2. The Site Allocations Plan (SAP) that SDC recently published for consultation

Proposed: Cllr Cross Seconded: Cllr Rivers-Fletcher All in Favour

158/19

DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

- o Monday 2nd September 2019 at 7.15pm

159/19

CLOSURE OF THE MEETING TO THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

The meeting closed at 20.30

SIGNED *J Sinclair*

DATE **2 September 2019**