

Tysoe.org.uk
 Minutes of the Tysoe Parish Council Meeting
 Held on Monday 18th September 2017 at 7.15pm

Present: Cllrs Sinclair (Chair), Locke, Allen, Collier, Cressman, Haines and Littlewood
 In attendance: DC Feilding, Mr David Roache, Chairman of NP Steering Group
 Public: One

	Action/ Date
<p>1. Welcome to the meeting given by the Chair, Cllr Sinclair.</p> <p>The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed all Councillors and members of the public.</p>	
<p>2. Apologies – Cllr Tongue</p>	
<p>3. Declaration of Interests</p> <p>The Chair, reminded councillors of the need to declare interests in any of the agenda items.</p>	
<p>4. Kendrick Homes Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr Roache opened the discussion with a summary of the meeting on 6th September with SDC – Messrs Webb, Weeks, Mrs Tate (Planning Department), District Cllrs Saint and Fielding. The key points are highlighted in Appendix One – an e-mail from Mr Roache to the Planning Committee East and those present at the 6th September meeting. <p>The Chairman then invited all present to share in the discussion.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DC Feilding reported that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ He had spoken to Messrs Weeks, Webb and Cllr Saint and asked that a Stop Notice be put on the development – request was refused. ○ The Portfolio holder for Planning had expressed serious concerns with the Planning Department and Processes but BEFORE the 6th September meeting but this issue has not yet been discussed. ○ When asked by Cllr Littlewood if he had communicated the feelings and actions of TPC to his fellow councillors at Stratford and to the officers DC Feilding said that he had but without commitment. • Issues raised in the discussion included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Disbelief that stone could also mean re-constituted stone ○ Did Councillors on the Planning Committee understand that Forticrete and not stone was to be used in the construction of all the houses ○ It seems from the transcript of the Planning Meeting that Dan Charles could not have looked in depth at the Plans ○ It is unclear who is responsible for checking that a Planning Proposal complies with SDC planning policies – those present reasoned that this development is not compliant. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ What is the legal position: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Of the verbal brief of the Planner to the Planning Committee ▪ The Planning Departments discharge of conditions. ● The meeting concluded that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The issues surrounding this development are Legal and not purely ones of Planning. ○ This planning debacle is a blight on the landscape affecting the whole of the village. <p>Therefore:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Cllr Littlewood proposed and Cllr Cressman seconded that Legal advice be sought; Councillors were unanimous in agreement. ● Cllr Allen proposed, seconded by Cllr Littlewood that a budget of £2000 be set aside to cover any initial legal fees; agreement was unanimous. ● The Chairman agreed to speak to WALC to seek legal help. ● It was further proposed and agreed that Mr Roache and Mr Gary Cressman with Cllr Tongue represent the Parish Council when meeting the legal profession to discuss the issues surrounding this development. ● Cllr Littlewood asked that DC Feilding takes The PCs actions forward to the Planning Department; DC Feilding confirmed he would. 	
<p>5. Closure of the meeting to the public and press at 8.20 pm.</p>	